Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/018,339	HARZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SUSAN HANLEY	1651
All Participants:	Status of Application: nor	-final
(1) SUSAN HANLEY.	(3)	
(2) <u>Dr. Roberte Makowski</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 February 2009	Time: 3:00	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic	cant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed:		
11-17,19-21,23,25 and 27-31		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Dr. Makowski agreed to the proposed examiner's amendment to		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.     It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa	he examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Examiner Initiated Interview Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-4138 (04-03)

10/018,339

HARZ ET AL.

Paper No. 20090226